

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,473	10/16/2003	Martin G. Klein	01628/46401 5441	
23838 7	7590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.			YUAN, DAH WEI D	
SUITE 700	El N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/685,473	KLEIN, MARTIN G	<b>)</b> .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/5/00     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17,19-23 and 33-38 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 33-38 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	'D 4 404(d)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	<b>⊢152</b> )

Application/Control Number: 10/685,473 Page 1 of 5

Art Unit: 1745

## MULTI-CELL BATTERY CHARGE CONTROL

Examiner: Yuan S.N. 10/685,473 Art Unit: 1745 September 7, 2006

#### **Detailed Action**

- 1. The Applicant's request for consideration filed on July 5, 2006 was received.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on April 4, 2006.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention on claims 1-17,33-38 are maintained. The recitation "each cell having an area to expand in response to pressure generated within the respective cell, the expandable area of each cell being aligned with a corresponding expandable area of at least one adjacent cell" in claim 1 does not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention. The instant specification teaches the individual bipolar wafer cells has a pressure tab area that can exert a force to trigger a pressure sensitive mechanism when gas pressure is generated within the wafer cell. The pressure tab may increase in thickness or area in response to the increase in pressure of the battery. The specification further discloses the tab area is made of a material that expands in response to pressure. See paragraphs 31,32,38,39. However, the disclosure provides no teachings or enablement to demonstrate what the tab area is made of and what is the

Application/Control Number: 10/685,473 Page 2 of 5

Art Unit: 1745

dimensional requirement of the tab. The characteristic of the spacer, which disposed between the tabs, is not discussed. It is unclear whether the spacer expands and how it expand in relationship to the tabs of the cell. The standard for determining whether the specification meets the enablement requirement is to answer the question; is the experimentation needed to practice the invention undue or unreasonable? There is no evidence on the record that shows what kind of pressure-sensitive material is used, what the expansion force this tab area would generate in response to pressure, and what the expansion in the thickness direction is required to trigger the pressure sensor. It is, therefore, concluded that the specification at the time of the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on July 5, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

- (a) The manufacture and use of cell envelops is known in the art as incorporated by reference in U.S. 5,393,617, 5,552,243 and 6,503,658;
- (b) the "area to expand" may include a spacer between the lamination s of the cell envelope.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,473 Page 3 of 5

Art Unit: 1745

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

(a) The '243 reference teaches the use of a non-conductive material to seal peripherally the outer layers to form a border material around the entire perimeter of the electrodes such as to form a sealed enclosure containing the pair of electrodes, the separator and the electrolyte within the wafer cell. If gas pressure is generated, i.e., oxygen or hydrogen, within the electrodes, the cell envelope expands slightly to prevent excess buildup of pressure. See Column 5, Lines 1-42. On the contrast, the instant specification shows the thin plastic film layer (104) is wrapped around the perimeter of the metal foils (117,118) in the wafer cell. It is unclear how pressure is generated by the metal foils within the envelope. The three references only disclose the stacked wafer cells in general and are not directly related to the subject matter of the instant invention;

(b) the instant discloser does not discuss the area to expand including the plastic spacer. It does not appear that the spacer is part of the cell, which includes an outer laminated positive contact face (101), a positive electrode (151), separator layers (110,111), a negative electrode (152) and an outer laminated negative contact face (102). See paragraph 35. Furthermore, the characteristics of the generic "plastic spacer" are not discussed. One of ordinary skill in the art would not know how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,473

Art Unit: 1745

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan September 7, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER